6 μm thick AlInP $^{55}$Fe x-ray photovoltaic and $^{63}$Ni betavoltaic cells

To cite this article: S Butera et al 2018 Semicond. Sci. Technol. 33 105003

View the article online for updates and enhancements.
6 μm thick AlInP $^{55}$Fe x-ray photovoltaic and $^{63}$Ni betavoltaic cells

S Butera¹, M D C Whitaker¹, A B Krysa² and A M Barnett¹

¹Space Research Group, School of Engineering and Informatics, University of Sussex, Brighton, BN1 9QT, United Kingdom
²EPSRC National Epitaxy Facility, University of Sheffield, Mappin Street, Sheffield, S1 3JD, United Kingdom

E-mail: S.Butera@sussex.ac.uk

Received 24 May 2018, revised 2 August 2018
Accepted for publication 20 August 2018
Published 5 September 2018

Abstract
Two 400 μm diameter Al$_{0.52}$In$_{0.48}$P p$^+$-i-n$^+$ mesa photodiodes (6 μm i layer) were fabricated from a wafer grown by metalorganic vapour phase epitaxy (MOVPE) and then studied at temperatures from 140°C to −20°C for the development of temperature tolerant $^{55}$Fe x-ray photovoltaic and $^{63}$Ni betavoltaic microbatteries. The Al$_{0.52}$In$_{0.48}$P epitaxial layers are the thickest so far reported for this emerging application. At each temperature, the performances of the Al$_{0.52}$In$_{0.48}$P detectors were analysed in dark conditions, as well as under the illumination of a 182 MBq $^{55}$Fe radioisotope x-ray source and a 185 MBq $^{63}$Ni radioisotope source. An open circuit voltage as high as 1.13 V was found for both the Al$_{0.52}$In$_{0.48}$P x-ray photovoltaic cells at −20°C; whilst an open circuit voltage of 0.47 V was found for the best $^{63}$Ni betavoltaic cell, at the same temperature. Maximum output powers of 1.44 and 1.36 pW were obtained from the two x-ray photovoltaic cells at −20°C; combining the output powers of these two Al$_{0.52}$In$_{0.48}$P x-ray photovoltaic cells, a total maximum output power as high as 2.8 pW could be obtained at −20°C. Maximum output powers of 0.18 pW and 0.13 pW were instead extracted from the two betavoltaic cells at −20°C, these could lead to a total maximum output power as high as 0.3 pW at −20°C. Conversion efficiencies of 2.2% and 0.06% were found, respectively, for the best Al$_{0.52}$In$_{0.48}$P x-ray photovoltaic and betavoltaic cells at −20°C. With respect to previously reported Al$_{0.52}$In$_{0.48}$P x-ray photovoltaic cells with thinner i layers, the 6 μm Al$_{0.52}$In$_{0.48}$P x-ray photovoltaic cells had higher short circuit current, open circuit voltage, maximum output power, and conversion efficiency. The 6 μm Al$_{0.52}$In$_{0.48}$P betavoltaic cells instead presented similar performances to previously analysed Al$_{0.52}$In$_{0.48}$P betavoltaic cells.
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1. Introduction

In recent years, Al$_{0.52}$In$_{0.48}$P has been used in a variety of applications including, laser diodes [1], solar cells [2], and underwater communication systems [3]. Lately, it has also attracted research attention for its use in direct conversion nuclear microbatteries, which convert the energy released during decay of a radioisotope into electrical energy. Such nuclear microbatteries can be beneficial for many long life applications including microelectromechanical system technologies [4], biomedical uses [5], and aerospace applications [6]. A problem with direct conversion microbatteries is that the emissions from the radioactive source can damage the semiconductor converter layer; a material that may overcome this radiation damage problem is Al$_{0.52}$In$_{0.48}$P. Recently, the first Al$_{0.52}$In$_{0.48}$P x-ray photovoltaic [7] and betavoltaic [8] cells have been reported. These initial devices were shown to have open circuit voltages of 0.97 V and 0.52 V, and maximum output powers of 0.62 pW and 0.28 pW at −20°C, respectively [7, 8]. Those x-ray photovoltaic and betavoltaic cells both used 2 μm i layer Al$_{0.52}$In$_{0.48}$P detectors as the converter devices; which were the thickest Al$_{0.52}$In$_{0.48}$P...
epitaxial layers reported at the time. Other characteristics that make Al_{0.52}In_{0.48}P converter layers desirable in a nuclear microbattery include its wide bandgap (2.31 eV [3]) and its high linear attenuation coefficients. The wide bandgap of Al_{0.52}In_{0.48}P should result, unlike Si, Ge, and other narrower bandgap materials, in a high microbattery conversion efficiency [9, 11] as well as low thermally-generated leakage currents [7, 8] even at increased working temperatures. The large Al_{0.52}In_{0.48}P linear attenuation coefficients mean that thinner Al_{0.52}In_{0.48}P photodiodes can achieve the same detection efficiency as thicker detectors made from other materials with lower attenuation coefficients (e.g. Si, GaAs, AlGaAs). Moreover, Al_{0.52}In_{0.48}P has the advantage of being very nearly lattice matched with commercially available GaAs substrates, which can facilitate its scientific exploitation and commercial production.

All these properties make Al_{0.52}In_{0.48}P a promising candidate material for high-efficiency, high-energy-density, and temperature-tolerant nuclear microbatteries. Other wide bandgap detector technologies for nuclear microbatteries include GaAs [11–13], SiC [10, 14], AlGaAs [15, 16], InGaP [17–20], GaN [21, 22], and diamond [23]. X-ray, beta, and alpha radioisotope sources have been coupled to such semiconductor materials to achieve the conversion of nuclear energy into electrical energy. The use of a radioisotope x-ray source (e.g. ^{55}Fe) or a radioisotope beta particle source (e.g. ^{63}Ni) rather than a radioisotope alpha source can be advantageous since x-rays and beta particles can be less damaging to the semiconductor material. Care must also be taken to ensure that the risk of radiation exposure to the users of microbatteries is minimised, and as such the use of softer x-rays and beta particles is preferred compared with harder x-ray and y-rays for that reason. Prototype temperature tolerant x-ray photovoltaic cells have also been reported using GaAs [11], Al_{0.52}Ga_{0.48}As [15], and In_{0.5}Ga_{0.5}P as converter materials [17]. The GaAs ^{55}Fe x-ray photovoltaic cell presented an open circuit voltage and maximum output power as high as 0.3 V and 1 pW, respectively, at −20 °C [11]. The Al_{0.52}Ga_{0.48}As ^{55}Fe x-ray photovoltaic microbattery (two cells design) had an open circuit voltage of 0.2 V and a maximum output power of 0.04 pW at −20 °C for the best performing cell [15]. The poor results obtained for the Al_{0.52}Ga_{0.48}As microbattery system were possibly caused by polarisation problems due to the presence of traps in the Al_{0.52}Ga_{0.48}As structure [15]. An open circuit voltage of 0.82 V and a maximum output power of 2.5 pW were instead reported for an In_{0.5}Ga_{0.5}As ^{55}Fe x-ray photovoltaic cell at −20 °C [17]. GaAs [12, 13] and In_{0.5}Ga_{0.5}As P [18] photodiodes have also played a crucial role in the development of temperature tolerant beta-ray microbatteries. ^{63}Ni–GaAs and ^{147}Pm–GaAs microbatteries [13] have shown an open circuit voltage sensitivities of −5.30 mV K^{-1} and −4.90 mV K^{-1} in the temperature range 223.15 K−303.15 K, respectively. An open circuit voltage sensitivity of 3.2 mV °C^{-1} was also found for a ^{60}Co–GaAs cell [12] between 70 °C and −20 °C; an open circuit voltage and a maximum output power as high as 0.23 V and 1.8 pW, respectively, were obtained at −20 °C. A temperature tolerant In_{0.5}Ga_{0.5}As P cell [18] instead has shown an open circuit voltage of 0.69 V and a maximum output power of 0.92 pW at its best operating temperature (−20 °C).

In this paper, two 400 µm diameter Al_{0.52}In_{0.48}P p^−-i-n^+ mesa photodiodes with 6 µm thick i layers were characterised for their performance as converter detectors in both an ^{55}Fe x-ray photovoltaic microbattery and in an ^{63}Ni betavoltaic microbattery at temperatures from 140 °C to −20 °C. The devices are the thickest Al_{0.52}In_{0.48}P layers (by a factor of 3) grown by metalorganic vapour phase epitaxy (MOVPE) so far reported in the literature. The dependence of saturation current, short circuit current, open circuit voltage, maximum output power, fill factor, and conversion efficiency as a function of temperature were studied. The highest open circuit voltage and maximum output power were found when the devices were cooled to −20 °C: values of 1.13 V and 1.44 pW, respectively, were obtained for the x-ray cell with the highest performances; whilst values of 0.47 V pA and 0.18 pW, respectively, were found for the best betavoltaic cell.

2. Material and methods

2.1. Detector structure and x-ray source

The Al_{0.52}In_{0.48}P structure was grown by MOVPE on an n+ GaAs substrate. It consisted of a 0.1 µm n+ doped layer (Si, 1 × 10^{19} cm^{-3}), followed by a 6 µm intrinsic layer and then a 0.2 µm p+ doped layer (Zn, 1 × 10^{19} cm^{-3}). A top 0.01 µm p+ GaAs layer (Zn, 1 × 10^{19} cm^{-3}) was also included to facilitate deposition of a top Ohmic contact. Mesa diodes with diameter of 400 µm were fabricated by using standard photolithography and wet chemical etching (1:1:1 K_{2}Cr_{2}O_{7}:HBr:CH_{3}COOHO etch, followed by finishing etch 1:8:80 H_{2}SO_{4}:H_{2}O_{2}:H_{2}O). A top Ohmic contact (Ti/Au, 20 nm/200 nm), covering 33% of the device surface, was deposited on top of the p+ GaAs layer; whilst a rear Ohmic contact (InGe/Au, 20 nm/200 nm) was deposited onto the rear of the n+ GaAs substrate. No passivation layer was applied. A total of two Al_{0.52}In_{0.48}P devices (on the same die) were characterised for their x-ray voltaic and betavoltaic performances. For such measurements, a 182 MBq ^{55}Fe radioisotope x-ray source (Mn Kα = 5.9 keV, Mn Kβ = 6.49 keV) (active surface area of 6 mm in diameter) and a 185 MBq ^{63}Ni radioisotope beta source (end point energy 66 keV) (active surface area 7 mm × 7 mm) were placed 3 mm away from the detectors’ top surfaces. The ^{55}Fe radioisotope x-ray source had a 0.25 mm Be window and the ^{63}Ni radioisotope beta source had a 1 µm thick inactive Ni overlayer on the active ^{63}Ni in order to meet the local radiation rules of our laboratory.

3. Results

3.1. Dark characterisation

Using a TAS Micro MT climatic cabinet and a Keithley 6487 picoammeter/voltage source, the electrical properties of the Al_{0.52}In_{0.48}P photodiodes were first investigated in dark condition across the temperature range 140 °C to −20 °C. The
photodiodes were forward biased between 0 V and 1.6 V in 0.01 V increments. A dry nitrogen atmosphere was created inside the climatic cabinet to reduce any humidity effects. An example of typical current as a function of forward voltage characteristic at different temperatures is presented in figure 1 for D2; for clarity only every other temperature is shown. Similar results were obtained for D1. The decreased dark current through the device, observed when the temperature was decreased from 140°C to −20°C, was due to the lower thermal energy available at lower temperatures.

The saturation current, \( I_0 \), and the ideality factor, \( n \), of the photodiode were extracted on the basis of the linear region of the semi-logarithm dark current as a function of forward bias. The measured saturation current and the calculated ideality factor as functions of temperature for D2 are shown in figures 2(a) and (b), respectively. Similar results were obtained for D1.

As expected, the natural logarithm of the saturation current increased at decreased temperatures [7]: over the temperature range 140°C to −20°C, an increase of 22.36 ± 0.12 (corresponding to an increase in saturation current, \( I_0 \), of 1.1 fA) was obtained for D1 and an increase of 22.13 ± 0.09 (corresponding to an increase in saturation current, \( I_0 \), of 1.0 fA) was found for D2. The results presented here were slightly lower than those reported for a 2 \( \mu \)m i layer \( \text{Al}_{0.52}\text{In}_{0.48}\text{P} \) device, 23.13 ± 0.19, in the same range of temperatures [7].

The ideality factor for D1 was 1.797 ± 0.008 at 140°C and it increased to 1.923 ± 0.006 at −20°C; for D2 it was 1.789 ± 0.008 at 140°C and it increased to 1.932 ± 0.003 at −20°C. An ideality factor close to 2, as was found here at all temperatures studied, indicates that generation and recombination in the \( \text{Al}_{0.52}\text{In}_{0.48}\text{P} \) devices were significant. The higher contribution of the diffusion current at higher temperatures possibly caused the slight decrease in ideality factor observed at 140°C [24]. Other GaAs and AlInP photodiodes present similar dependence between ideality factor and temperature [7, 25]. The previously reported 2 \( \mu \)m i layer \( \text{Al}_{0.52}\text{In}_{0.48}\text{P} \) device had ideality factors of 1.561 ± 0.003 and 1.682 ± 0.011 at 140°C and −20°C, respectively [7]; such results are lower than those reported here indicating that generation-recombination mechanism (possibly due to the higher absolute number of traps in the structure) is more significant for the 6 \( \mu \)m i layer \( \text{Al}_{0.52}\text{In}_{0.48}\text{P} \) than it was in for the 2 \( \mu \)m i layer \( \text{Al}_{0.52}\text{In}_{0.48}\text{P} \) photodiode [7].

3.2. X-ray photovoltaic cells

The electrical properties of the \( \text{Al}_{0.52}\text{In}_{0.48}\text{P} \) photodiodes were then investigated under the illumination of the \( ^{55}\text{Fe} \) radioisotope x-ray source; the photodiodes and the \( ^{55}\text{Fe} \) radioisotope x-ray source were installed in a TAS Micro MT climatic cabinet for temperature control, data were taken at temperatures from 140°C to −20°C in 10°C steps. Measurements of the devices’ currents as functions of forward bias were made from 0 to 1.6 V, with an increment step size of 0.01 V. Dry nitrogen was constantly flowed into the climatic cabinet to reduce any humidity effects. The short circuit current (\( I_{sc} \)) and open circuit voltage (\( V_{oc} \)) for both cells were measured at different temperatures; the maximum output power (\( P_{max} \)), fill factor (FF), and conversion efficiency (\( \eta \)) were calculated as follows [24]:

\[
P_{max} = V_{oc}I_{sc}, \quad (1)
\]

\[
FF = \frac{V_{m}I_{m}}{V_{oc}I_{sc}}, \quad (2)
\]

\[
\eta = \frac{P_{max}}{P_{i}}, \quad (3)
\]

where \( V_{m} \) and \( I_{m} \) are, respectively, the voltage and the current corresponding to the maximum experimental output power, and \( P_{i} \) is the expected incident power on the detector. The expected incident power on each of the x-ray voltaic cells was estimated by

\[
P_{i} = \frac{A}{2} A_{\text{AlInP}} \left( E_{K\alpha,5900} + E_{K\beta,6490} \right), \quad (4)
\]

where \( A/2 \) was half of the activity of the \( ^{55}\text{Fe} \) radioisotope x-ray source (half of the x-ray photons did not contribute to the electrical power since they were emitted upwards and lost), \( A_{\text{AlInP}} \) was the area of the \( \text{Al}_{0.52}\text{In}_{0.48}\text{P} \) device (0.13 mm\(^2\)), \( A_{\text{Fe}} \) was the active area of the \( ^{55}\text{Fe} \) radioisotope x-ray source (28.27 mm\(^2\)), \( E_{K\alpha,5900} \) and \( E_{K\beta,6490} \) were the emission probabilities of Mn K\( \alpha \) and Mn K\( \beta \) x-rays from the \( ^{55}\text{Fe} \) radioisotope x-ray source (0.245 and 0.0338, respectively [26]), \( T_{K\alpha} \), and \( T_{K\beta} \) were the transmission probabilities of Mn K\( \alpha \) and Mn K\( \beta \) x-rays through the 0.25 mm radioisotope x-ray source’s Be window (0.576 and 0.667, respectively [27]). An incident power (\( P_{i} \)) of 64 pW was expected on each photodiode.

The temperature dependence of the illuminated current characteristics as a function of forward bias for one of the \( ^{55}\text{Fe} \) x-ray photovoltaic \( \text{Al}_{0.52}\text{In}_{0.48}\text{P} \) cell, D2, is shown in figure 3; similar results were obtained for D1.

The values, extrapolated from figure 3, of the short circuit current (\( I_{sc} \)) and open circuit voltage (\( V_{oc} \)) as a function of
temperature for D2 are presented in figures 4(a) and (b), respectively; similar dependences were found for D1.

The short circuit current magnitude increased when the temperature was reduced from 140 °C to 50 °C, whilst it remained stable (≈2.5 pA for D1 and ≈2 pA for D2, respectively) at temperatures between 40 °C and −20 °C. The short circuit current is dependent on the number of carriers generated upon x-ray photon absorption and the carrier diffusion lengths; while the former decreased at low temperature because of the higher electron–hole pair creation energy, the later increased at low temperature because of the lower thermal (phonon) scattering. For both the cells, the experimental results showed that the thermal scattering mechanism was predominant in the temperature range 140 °C–50 °C, whilst it was possibly compensated by the decrease in the number of carrier generated in the temperature range 40 °C to −20 °C. A flat trend in the short circuit current was instead observed for a 55Fe x-ray photovoltaic cell D2 between 160 °C and −20 °C [7]. At −20 °C, the short circuit current observed here was more than 2 times bigger than that observed for the 2 μm i layer Al0.52In0.48P cell.

An open circuit voltage ($V_{oc}$) as high as 1.13 V was observed in both Al0.52In0.48P x-ray photovoltaic cells at −20 °C. The $V_{oc}$ is linearly dependent on the temperature with a gradient of $(0.0050 ± 0.0001) V °C^{-1}$ between 40 °C and −20 °C and a gradient of $(0.0084 ± 0.00014) V °C^{-1}$ between 130 °C and 50 °C for D1. Similar results were found for D2, gradients of $(0.0025 ± 0.00013) V °C^{-1}$ and $(0.0090 ± 0.00033) V °C^{-1}$ were measured in the temperature ranges 40 °C to −20 °C, and 130 °C to 50 °C, respectively. The steeper gradient obtained, in both Al0.52In0.48P x-ray photovoltaic cells, between 130 °C and 50 °C, may be explained by the increased thermal scattering mechanism which decreased the carrier mobility (lower photocurrent) resulting in a more rapid decrease of $V_{oc}$. The gradients obtained between 40 °C and −20 °C were similar to those reported for the 2 μm i layer Al0.52In0.48P 55Fe radioisotope microbatteries, $(0.00460 ± 0.00003) V °C^{-1}$ [7].

The high $V_{oc}$ values found here are due to the large bandgap energy of Al0.52In0.48P (at room temperature, 2.31 eV [4]). To obtain a large $V_{oc}$, a large bandgap ($E_G$) is required; this is because the $V_{oc}$ increases logarithmically with decreasing saturation current ($I_0$), and $I_0$ decreases exponentially with $E_G$ [24]. As expected, the Al0.52In0.48P cells have higher $V_{oc}$ values than those observed in x-ray voltaic cells where GaAs (bandgap at room temperature of 1.42 eV [28]) or In0.5Ga0.5P (bandgap at room temperature of 1.9 eV [29]) devices are used as converter layers [11, 17]. Higher open circuit voltage values were also observed here compared to a 2 μm i layer Al0.52In0.48P 55Fe cells due to the larger number of carriers generated in the 6 μm (see 2 μm i layer cells. Both the 2 μm i layer and 6 μm i layer Al0.52In0.48P structures had similar saturation currents [7].
The output power \( P \) extracted from one of the \(^{55}\text{Fe} \) x-ray photovoltaic \( \text{Al}_{0.52}\text{In}_{0.48}\text{P} \) cell (D2) is shown in figure 5(a); the output power increased to a maximum \( P_{\text{max}} \), corresponding to a voltage of \( V_{\text{oc}} \) and a current of \( I_{\text{max}} \), and then decreased at increased forward bias. Figure 5(b) shows a zoom of figure 5(a) so as to illustrate the results observed at \( 140^\circ \text{C}, \) \( 130^\circ \text{C}, \) and \( 120^\circ \text{C} \). The maximum output power \( P_{\text{max}} \) was found using equation (1); its relationship with temperature for D2 is shown in figure 6(a). Similar results were obtained for D1. Because of the linear dependence of \( P_{\text{max}} \) on \( V_{\text{oc}} \), increased \( P_{\text{max}} \) values were obtained at decreased temperatures [24]. The different behaviour observed at temperatures below \( 50^\circ \text{C} \) was in accordance with the results found for \( V_{\text{oc}} \) in the same temperature range. The fill factor is the measure of the sharpness of the current as a function of forward bias characteristics. For each \( \text{Al}_{0.52}\text{In}_{0.48}\text{P} \) cell, the FF was calculated using equation (2). Figure 6(b) shows FF as a function of temperature for D2: the fill factor decreased from \( 140^\circ \text{C} \) to \( 100^\circ \text{C} \), then slightly increased from \( 100^\circ \text{C} \) to \( 60^\circ \text{C} \) and saturated to a value of \( \approx 0.5 \) for temperatures lower than \( 60^\circ \text{C} \). Similar results were obtained for D1.

The conversion efficiency \( \eta \) was estimated using equation (3); its dependence on temperature for D2 is presented in figure 7. Similar results were obtained for D1. The conversion efficiency increased at decreased temperatures, it reached its maximum value of 2.2% for D1 and 2.1% for D2 at \(-20^\circ \text{C}\). Dividing the experimental output power by the power actually absorbed by each device, internal conversion efficiencies of 25% and 24% were found at \(-20^\circ \text{C}\) for D1 and D2, respectively. In the calculation of the power actually absorbed, the device quantum efficiency (50% at 5.9 keV and 42% at 6.49 keV) and the \( \text{Al}_{0.52}\text{In}_{0.48}\text{P} \) electron–hole pair creation energy at each specific temperature [30] were used. The device quantum efficiency (QE) was calculated using the Beer–Lambert law and assuming complete charge collection.
in the Al$_{0.52}$In$_{0.48}$P i layer. The linear attenuation coefficients used in the QE calculations were 0.13 $\mu$m$^{-1}$ and 0.10 $\mu$m$^{-1}$ at 5.9 keV and 6.49 keV, respectively [27, 31]; these values are higher than for many other semiconductors such as Si, GaAs, and AlGaAs [27, 31].

The highest maximum output power was observed at $-20^\circ$C for both the x-ray voltaic cells; values of 1.44 pW and 1.36 pW were obtained for D1 and D2, respectively. At the same temperature, a 10 $\mu$m i layer GaAs $^{55}$Fe x-ray photovoltaic cell shown an output power of 1 pW [13]; the decreased output power extracted from the GaAs converter cell is due to the higher x-ray attenuation coefficients of Al$_{0.52}$In$_{0.48}$P with respect to GaAs. A 5 $\mu$m i layer In$_{0.5}$Ga$_{0.5}$P $^{55}$Fe x-ray photovoltaic cell had instead an output power of 1.5 pW at $-20^\circ$C [18]; the better performance of such a cell was a consequence of the higher x-ray attenuation coefficients of In$_{0.5}$Ga$_{0.5}$P with respect to Al$_{0.52}$In$_{0.48}$P.

The increased output power reported here with respect to the earlier Al$_{0.52}$In$_{0.48}$P device [7] is due to the new Al$_{0.52}$In$_{0.48}$P detectors being thicker; the i layer of the Al$_{0.52}$In$_{0.48}$P devices characterised here was 3 times thicker than that of the photodiodes used in [7]. A further increase of the Al$_{0.52}$In$_{0.48}$P i layer thickness may improve the number of x-ray photons absorbed in the detector and consequently increased the extracted output power. This improvement is expected from quantum efficiency calculations based on absorption of radiation in the active region of the structure.

**Figure 6.** (a) Maximum output power as a function of temperature for the $^{55}$Fe x-ray photovoltaic Al$_{0.52}$In$_{0.48}$P cell, D2. (b) Fill factor as a function of temperature for the $^{55}$Fe x-ray photovoltaic Al$_{0.52}$In$_{0.48}$P cell, D2.

**Figure 7.** Conversion efficiency ($\eta$) as a function of temperature for the Al$_{0.52}$In$_{0.48}$P $^{55}$Fe x-ray photovoltaic cell.

**Figure 8.** Illuminated current characteristics as a function of applied forward bias for $^{63}$Ni betavoltaic Al$_{0.52}$In$_{0.48}$P cell, D2. The temperatures analysed were 110 $^\circ$C (black circles), 100 $^\circ$C (white circles), 90 $^\circ$C (grey circles), 80 $^\circ$C (black squares), 70 $^\circ$C (white squares), 60 $^\circ$C (grey squares), 50 $^\circ$C (crosses), 40 $^\circ$C (black triangles), 30 $^\circ$C (white triangles), 20 $^\circ$C (grey triangles), 10 $^\circ$C (stars), 0 $^\circ$C (black rhombuses), $-10^\circ$C (white rhombuses), $-20^\circ$C (grey rhombuses).

**Table 1.** Maximum output powers from the Al$_{0.52}$In$_{0.48}$P x-ray photovoltaic cells at particular temperatures.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temperature ($^\circ$C)</th>
<th>Maximum power D1 (pW)</th>
<th>Maximum power D2 (pW)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$-20$</td>
<td>1.435</td>
<td>1.364</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>1.083</td>
<td>1.090</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.565</td>
<td>0.584</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td>0.041</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>140</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(using the Beer–Lambert law). Deviation from ideal material qualities in thicker structures may result in the optimal real-world structure thickness being thinner than this, even if complete absorption is not possible within that thinner structure. An advantage of the reported Al$_{0.52}$In$_{0.48}$P $^{57}$Fe radioisotope microbattery is that the output powers of the two 400 $\mu$m diameter Al$_{0.52}$In$_{0.48}$P x-ray photovoltaic cells could be combined, resulting in a combined output power of 2.8 pW at $-20^\circ$C; a real word microbattery would necessarily use many cells combined and/or cells of larger area. Table 1 shows the maximum output powers at some significant temperatures for both of the 6 $\mu$m i layer Al$_{0.52}$In$_{0.48}$P detectors analysed.

3.3. Betavoltaic cells

The $^{57}$Fe radioisotope x-ray source was then substituted with the $^{63}$Ni radioisotope beta source, the change in the electrical performance of the Al$_{0.52}$In$_{0.48}$P photodiodes under this type of radiation were studied using the same techniques as described above. Although temperatures up to 140 °C were reached during the experiment, betavoltaic characteristics were only observed below 110 °C in both photodiodes. Figure 8 shows the illuminated currents recorded between 110 °C and $-20^\circ$C from D2; similar characteristics were found for D1.

Figure 9 shows the illuminated currents recorded between 110 °C and $-20^\circ$C from D2; similar characteristics were found for D1.

![Figure 9](image-url)

Figure 9. (a) Short circuit current as a function of temperature for the $^{63}$Ni betavoltaic Al$_{0.52}$In$_{0.48}$P cell D2. (b) Open circuit voltage as a function of temperature for the $^{63}$Ni betavoltaic Al$_{0.52}$In$_{0.48}$P cell D2.

Figure 10. Output power as a function of applied forward bias for the $^{63}$Ni betavoltaic Al$_{0.52}$In$_{0.48}$P cell D2, at different temperatures. The temperatures analysed were 110 °C (black circles), 100 °C (white circles), 90 °C (grey circles), 80 °C (black squares), 70 °C (white squares), 60 °C (grey squares), 50 °C (crosses), 40 °C (black triangles), 30 °C (white triangles), 20 °C (grey triangles), 10 °C (stars), 0 °C (black rhombuses), $-10^\circ$C (white rhombuses), $-20^\circ$C (grey rhombuses).
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The $^{57}$Fe radioisotope x-ray source was then substituted with the $^{63}$Ni radioisotope beta source, the change in the electrical performance of the Al$_{0.52}$In$_{0.48}$P photodiodes under this type of radiation were studied using the same techniques as described above. Although temperatures up to 140 °C were reached during the experiment, betavoltaic characteristics were only observed below 110 °C in both photodiodes. Figure 8 shows the illuminated currents recorded between 110 °C and $-20^\circ$C from D2; similar characteristics were found for D1.

Figure 9 shows the illuminated currents recorded between 110 °C and $-20^\circ$C from D2; similar characteristics were found for D1.

![Figure 9](image-url)

Figure 9. (a) Short circuit current as a function of temperature for the $^{63}$Ni betavoltaic Al$_{0.52}$In$_{0.48}$P cell D2. (b) Open circuit voltage as a function of temperature for the $^{63}$Ni betavoltaic Al$_{0.52}$In$_{0.48}$P cell D2.

The magnitude of the short circuit current increased from 110 °C to 60 °C, and remained stable ($\approx$1.7 pA for D1 and $\approx$1.8 pA for D2, respectively) from 60 °C to 30 °C. Such behaviour was similar to that previously found in the x-ray photovoltaic experiment, although the value at each particular temperature was lower than that under the illumination of the $^{57}$Fe radioisotope x-ray source. Unexpectedly, at temperatures below 30 °C, the short circuit current decreased to $\approx$1 pA in both photodiodes. The mechanism that caused such decrease is unclear, it may be due to the presence of trapping centres whose probability of releasing a trapped carrier decreased at low temperatures. Previously, a decrease in short circuit current magnitude has also been observed for a $^{63}$Ni betavoltaic Al$_{0.52}$In$_{0.48}$P (2 $\mu$m i layer) cell [8] at the same temperatures; at $-20^\circ$C, the short circuit current for the 2 $\mu$m i layer Al$_{0.52}$In$_{0.48}$P cell was more than 2 times bigger than that observed here. Higher short circuit currents were also observed for the GaAs [12] (27 pA) and In$_{0.5}$Ga$_{0.5}$P [18] (4.5 pA) betavoltaic cells at $-20^\circ$C.

The Al$_{0.52}$In$_{0.48}$P cells D1 and D2 presented open circuit voltages ($V_{oc}$) of 0.34 V and 0.47 at $-20^\circ$C, respectively. Such values are much lower than the ones obtained previously for the same photodiodes operating in x-ray photovoltaic mode. The decreased short circuit current observed for the betavoltaic cells (particularly at low temperatures) with respect to the x-ray photovoltaic cells, decreased the open circuit voltage in accordance with equation (5). The short
circuit current results are surprising since the expected number of carriers generated under the illumination of the x-ray source \((4 \times 10^7 \text{ at } -20 ^\circ \text{C})\) was lower than the number of carriers generated under the illumination of the beta source \((17 \times 10^7 \text{ at } -20 ^\circ \text{C})\). The different interaction mechanism between x-rays or beta particles and the semiconductor also possibly contributed to the decrease in open circuit voltage.

Each 5.9 and 6.49 keV x-ray can be considered, as an approximation, to be absorbed each in one location (the authors acknowledge the non-zero ranges of the photoelectron and detector’s self-fluorescence x-ray upon interaction but consider these to be short for the present case). In contrast, each beta particle loses energy along its track through the semiconductor. This can lead to localised reductions in the electric field strength (reduced resistivity) along these tracks. An excessively large number of charge carriers created in the semiconductor (regardless of whether created along tracks or uniformly in the device) can also cause a reduction in the resistivity of the device \([32]\). These effects may be the origin of the lower observed open circuit voltage values \((V_{oc})\).

\[
V_{oc} = \frac{kT}{q} \ln \left( \frac{I_c}{I_0} + 1 \right),
\]

where \(k\) is the Boltzmann constant, \(T\) the temperature, \(q\) the charge, \(I_c\) the short circuit current, and \(I_0\) the saturation current. The open circuit voltages obtained here were also lower than those obtained for a \(^{63}\text{Ni}\) betavoltaic \(\text{Al}_{0.52}\text{In}_{0.48}\text{P}\) (2 \(\mu\text{m}\) i layer) cell \([8]\); that cell had a \(V_{oc} = 0.52 \text{ V at } -20 ^\circ \text{C}\). This is mainly a consequence of the lower short circuit currents observed for the 6 \(\mu\text{m}\) i layer \(\text{Al}_{0.52}\text{In}_{0.48}\text{P}\) structure with respect to the 2 \(\mu\text{m}\) i layer \(\text{Al}_{0.52}\text{In}_{0.48}\text{P}\) device. The betavoltaic results reported here are important since they show that it is not always the case that a thicker absorption region and greater numbers of created charge carriers result in better betavoltaic cell performances; other mechanisms can also influence the betavoltaic system, and these mechanisms need to be considered in order to achieve the best betavoltaic cell performance.

---

**Table 2.** Maximum output powers from the \(\text{Al}_{0.52}\text{In}_{0.48}\text{P}\) betavoltaic cells at particular temperatures.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>Maximum power (D1) (pW)</th>
<th>Maximum power (D2) (pW)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>−20</td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td>0.183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.071</td>
<td>0.137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.097</td>
<td>0.105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Figure 11.** (a) Maximum output power as a function of temperature for the \(^{63}\text{Ni}\) betavoltaic \(\text{Al}_{0.52}\text{In}_{0.48}\text{P}\) cell, \(D2\). (b) Fill factor as a function of temperature for the \(^{63}\text{Ni}\) betavoltaic \(\text{Al}_{0.52}\text{In}_{0.48}\text{P}\) cell, \(D2\).

**Figure 12.** Conversion efficiency \((\eta)\) as a function of temperature for the \(\text{Al}_{0.52}\text{In}_{0.48}\text{P}\) \(^{63}\text{Ni}\) betavoltaic cell.

**Figure 13.** QE of the \(\text{Al}_{0.52}\text{In}_{0.48}\text{P}\) structure as a function of electron energy as determined by Monte Carlo modelling.
design. The previously reported GaAs [12] and In0.53Ga0.47P [18] betavoltaic cells presented lower (0.2 V) and higher (0.69 V) open circuit voltage values, respectively, than those found for the 6 μm i layer Al0.52In0.48P betavoltaic cells.

The output power (P) as a function of forward bias for the 63Ni beta source Al0.52In0.48P cell (D2) is shown in figure 10; similar output powers were extracted from D1.

Equation (1) was used to calculate the maximum output power (Pmax) extracted from the betavoltaic cells at different temperatures. The output power was calculated for the 63Ni beta source. The results reflected the linear relationship of Pmax on Vsc, the lower maximum output power for the 6 μm i-layer Al0.52In0.48P device [8] was a consequence of the lower open circuit voltage of the former cell. The FF was found using equation (2), figure 11(b) shows FF as a function of temperature for D2. The behaviour is similar to that found for the x-ray photovoltaic cell: the fill factor decreased from 110 °C to 90 °C, then remained quite stable with an increased at low temperatures.

Equation (3) was used to evaluate the conversion efficiency (η); the expected incident power on each betavoltaic cell was estimated by

\[ P_i = \frac{\text{endpoint} - 66}{2} A_{\text{AlInP}} E_{\text{mi}} T_i, \]

where A/2 was half of the activity of the 63Ni radioactive source (taking into account self-absorption mechanisms; only half of the beta electrons were considered because the other half were emitted upwards and lost), A_{AlInP} was the area of the Al0.52In0.48P device (0.13 mm²), \( A_{\text{AlInP}} \) was the active area of the 63Ni radioisotope beta source (49 mm²), \( E_{\text{mi}} \) was the emission probability of an electron of energy \( i \) [33], \( T_i \) was the transmission probability of an electron of energy \( i \) through the Ni overlayer and dry nitrogen. Monte Carlo computer modelling package CASINO (version 2.48) [34, 35] was used to simulate the attenuations of the beta particles through the 1 μm thick inactive Ni overlayer and the 3 mm of dry nitrogen. Self-absorption mechanisms within the 63Ni beta source were taken into account in the calculations, this reduced the source activity from 185 to 136 MBq [36] if a specific activity of 13 mCi mg\(^{-1}\) was considered (according the source supplier specifications). An incident power (\( P_i \)) of 287 pW was estimated on each photodiode. The temperature dependence of the conversion efficiency for D2 is shown in figure 12; the dependence for D1 was similarly obtained. In accordance with the x-ray photovoltaic cells, the conversion efficiency had its maximum value at −20 °C (0.04% for D1 and 0.06% for D2), whilst it decreased when the temperature increased.

Dividing the experimental output power by the power actually absorbed by each device, internal conversion efficiencies of 0.5% and 0.7% were found at −20 °C for D1 and D2, respectively. In order to calculate the power actually absorbed, the Al0.52In0.48P electron–hole pair creation energy at each specific temperature [30] was used. It was also necessary to evaluate the cell QE: the computer model package CASINO was used to estimate the percentage of the energy of the electron absorbed within the Al0.52In0.48P layer with respect to the energy incident at the photodiode face (QE) as a function of electrons energies (1–66 keV). Figure 13 shows the simulated quantum efficiency.

At −20 °C, maximum output powers as high as 0.13 pW and 0.18 pW were extracted from D1 and D2, respectively. At the same temperature, a 10 μm i layer GaAs cell [12], a 5 μm i layer In0.53Ga0.47P [18], and a 2 μm i layer Al0.52In0.48P betavoltaic cell [8] presented higher maximum output powers. Such differences in results were a direct consequence of the lower values for the product between open circuit voltage and short circuit current in the 6 μm i-layer Al0.52In0.48P betavoltaic cells with respect to cells where different converter materials were used. Combining the output powers of the two 6 μm i-layer Al0.52In0.48P betavoltaic cells, maximum output power of 0.3 pW could be found at −20 °C. The maximum output powers extracted from both the 6 μm i-layer Al0.52In0.48P cells are reported in table 2.

Table 3 shows the key performance parameters obtained for the 6 μm i-layer Al0.52In0.48P x-ray photovoltaic and betavoltaic cells compared with those previously reported 2 μm i-layer Al0.52In0.48P x-ray photovoltaic [7] and betavoltaic [8] cells, at −20 °C.

4. Conclusion

In this work, a 182 MBq 55Fe radioisotope x-ray source and a 185 MBq 63Ni radioisotope beta source were used, in turn, to illuminate two 400 μm diameter p-i-n (6 μm i-layer) Al0.52In0.48P unpassivated mesa photodiodes (D1 and D2). The Al0.52In0.48P devices are the thickest Al0.52In0.48P photodetectors reported to date for this emerging application. Optimal thicknesses of 40 μm and 25 μm of Al0.52In0.48P would be needed to maximise the number of charge carriers.
usefully created by $^{55}$Fe x-ray and $^{63}$Ni beta particle radioisotope sources, respectively; deviation from ideal material qualities may result in the optimal real-world structure thicknesses being thinner than these. The $0.1\mu m$ thick i layer was a step forward towards these thicknesses. The conversion of x-ray energy/beta energy into electrical energy was achieved using the exceptional properties of $A_{0.52}I_{0.48}P$ as converter layer. The $^{55}$Fe radioisotope $A_{0.52}I_{0.48}P$ microbattery cells and the $^{63}$Ni radioisotope $A_{0.52}I_{0.48}P$ microbattery cells were analysed over the temperature range 140 °C to −20 °C. The electrical properties of the $A_{0.52}I_{0.48}P$ photodiodes were firstly investigated in dark conditions to study the saturation current and the ideality factor as a function of temperature. Increases in the logarithm of saturation current and ideality factor were observed when the temperature was decreased from 140 °C to −20 °C. The electrical properties of the $A_{0.52}I_{0.48}P$ devices were then investigated under the illumination of the $^{55}$Fe radioisotope x-ray source and the $^{63}$Ni radioisotope beta source. The short circuit current, the open circuit voltage, the maximum output power, the fill factor, and the conversion efficiency were studied as a function of temperature for the x-ray voltaic and betavoltaic cells. An increase in open circuit voltage, maximum power, and conversion efficiency was found when decreasing the temperature. For the x-ray photovoltaic cells, an open circuit voltage as high as 1.13 V was obtained at −20 °C for both devices; whilst a maximum output powers of 1.44 pW and 1.36 pW were extracted at −20 °C for D1 and D2, respectively. Combining such output powers a total maximum output power as high as 2.8 pW could be obtained at −20 °C. Poorer performances were instead observed for the betavoltaic cells: open circuit voltages of 0.34 V and 0.47 V and maximum output powers of 0.13 pW and 0.18 pW were found for D1 and D2, respectively, at −20 °C. A total output power of 0.3 pW could be found at −20 °C, combining the powers extracted from both cells. The different mechanisms in the beta particle–semiconductor interaction with respect to x-ray–semiconductor interaction may explain the differences in results found.
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